

APPENDIX

Table B-1.—PERCENT OF ALLOCATION FOR NONRESPONSE, FOR THE STATE, BY SIZE OF PLACE: 1960

[The term "allocation" means that a characteristic was assigned a value during the tabulation because of the absence of an entry on the schedule, or because the entry was poorly marked, inconsistent with another entry, or was not readable by the mechanical equipment. See text for further explanation. Percent not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 100]

SUBJECT	THE STATE	URBAN				RURAL		
		TOTAL	URBANIZED AREAS		OTHER URBAN		TOTAL	PLACES OF 1,000 TO 2,500
			CENTRAL CITIES	URBAN FRINGE	PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE	PLACES OF 2,500 TO 10,000		
TOTAL PERSONS: NUMBER	2 757 537	1 462 512	607 518	150 462	333 360	371 172	1 295 025	196 680 1 098 345
PERSONS SUBSTITUTED: ¹								
FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO NONINTERVIEW	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE	0.1	0.1	0.1	***	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
PERSONS WITH ONE OR MORE ALLOCATIONS	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.0	2.1	1.8	2.1
RELATIONSHIP ALLOCATED	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
SEX ALLOCATED	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
COLOR ALLOCATED	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
BIRTHDATE ALLOCATED	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.7
DECADE UNKNOWN	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4
DECADE KNOWN	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3
PERSONS 14 YEARS OLD AND OVER: NUMBER	1 941 187	1 043 796	429 724	99 683	242 155	272 234	897 391	145 059 752 332
MARITAL STATUS ALLOCATED	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3

¹ THIS TYPE OF ALLOCATION, WHICH IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE OTHER PERCENTAGES IN THIS TABLE, CONSISTS OF CASES WHERE PERSONS, AND ALL THEIR CHARACTERISTICS, WERE SUBSTITUTED FOR AN ESTIMATED NUMBER OF OMITTED PERSONS. OMISSIONS DUE TO NONINTERVIEW CONSISTED OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLDS FOR WHICH THE ENUMERATOR OBTAINED NO POPULATION DATA. OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE CONSISTED OF FULL SCHEDULE PAGES WHICH WERE NOT PROPERLY RECORDED IN THE ELECTRONIC PROCESSING SYSTEM.

Table B-2.—PERCENT OF ALLOCATION FOR NONRESPONSE, FOR URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE AND COUNTIES: 1960

[The term "allocation" means that a characteristic was assigned a value during the tabulation because of the absence of an entry on the schedule, or because the entry was poorly marked, inconsistent with another entry, or was not readable by the mechanical equipment. See text for further explanation. Percent not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 100]

AREA	TOTAL PERSONS (NUMBER)	PERSONS SUBSTITUTED ¹		PERSONS WITH 1 OR MORE ALLOCATIONS	PERSONS WITH ALLOCATIONS				PERSONS 14 YEARS OLD AND OVER MARITAL STATUS
		FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO NON-INTERVIEWS	FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE		RELATIONSHIP	SEX	COLOR	BIRTH DATE	
URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE									
AMES	27 003	0.2	***	2.7	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.9	1.0
BETTENDORF	11 534	0.1	1.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.3
BOONE	12 468	0.1	0.1	1.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.2
BURLINGTON	32 430	0.1	***	2.3	0.6	0.2	0.3	1.1	0.4
CEDAR FALLS	21 195	0.1	0.1	1.7	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.2
CEDAR RAPIDS	92 035	0.4	0.1	2.2	0.5	0.2	0.3	1.2	0.7
CLINTON	33 589	0.2	0.1	2.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	1.0	0.6
COUNCIL BLUFFS	55 641	0.1	0.1	2.6	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.3	0.6
DAVENPORT	88 981	0.2	0.1	2.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	1.2	0.6
DES MOINES	208 982	0.4	0.2	2.9	0.5	0.3	0.5	1.5	0.9
DUBUQUE	56 606	0.2	0.1	2.0	0.4	0.2	0.3	1.0	0.5
FORT DODGE	28 399	0.1	0.2	1.8	0.3	0.1	0.2	1.1	0.5
FORT MADISON	15 247	0.3	0.1	1.6	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.3
IOWA CITY	33 443	0.2	0.1	1.8	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.4
KEOKUK	16 316	0.4	0.2	1.7	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.3
MARION	10 882	0.1	***	2.3	0.4	0.1	0.2	1.4	0.8
MARSHALLTOWN	22 521	0.2	***	1.3	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.2
MASON CITY	30 642	0.3	***	1.8	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.4
MUSCATINE	20 997	0.2	0.2	2.1	0.4	0.3	0.5	1.0	0.3
NEWTON	15 381	0.2	0.4	2.7	0.6	0.3	0.4	1.4	0.5
OSKALOOSA	11 053	0.3	***	2.0	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.3
OTTUMWA	33 871	0.3	0.2	2.7	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.4	0.7
SIOUX CITY	89 159	0.5	0.1	3.3	0.8	0.4	0.5	1.6	0.8
WATERLOO	71 755	0.2	***	1.9	0.4	0.2	0.3	1.0	0.5
WEST DES MOINES	11 949	1.0	***	2.0	0.4	0.2	0.3	1.0	0.5
COUNTIES									
ADAIR	10 893	0.2	0.1	1.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.1
ADAMS	7 468	0.5	0.2	1.7	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.2
ALLAMAKEE	15 982	0.2	***	1.9	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.3
APPANOOSA	16 015	0.5	***	2.7	0.8	0.3	0.3	1.4	0.5
AUDUBON	10 919	0.3	***	2.5	0.5	0.2	0.3	1.5	0.2
BENTON	23 422	0.3	***	1.7	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.2
BLACK HAWK	122 482	0.2	0.1	1.7	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.4
BOONE	28 037	0.1	***	1.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.7	0.2
BREMER	21 108	0.1	***	1.4	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2
BUCHANAN	22 293	0.1	0.1	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.2
BUENA VISTA	21 189	0.2	0.2	1.9	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.8	0.4
BUTLER	17 467	0.2	0.1	2.1	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.4
CALHOUN	15 923	0.2	***	2.7	0.7	0.4	0.6	1.1	0.3
CARROLL	23 431	0.2	0.2	2.7	0.3	0.4	0.3	1.7	0.4

¹ THIS TYPE OF ALLOCATION, WHICH IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE OTHER PERCENTAGES IN THIS TABLE, CONSISTS OF CASES WHERE PERSONS, AND ALL THEIR CHARACTERISTICS, WERE SUBSTITUTED FOR AN ESTIMATED NUMBER OF OMITTED PERSONS. OMISSIONS DUE TO NONINTERVIEW CONSISTED OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLDS FOR WHICH THE ENUMERATOR OBTAINED NO POPULATION DATA. OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE CONSISTED OF FULL SCHEDULE PAGES WHICH WERE NOT PROPERLY RECORDED IN THE ELECTRONIC PROCESSING SYSTEM.

Table B-2.—PERCENT OF ALLOCATION FOR NONRESPONSE, FOR URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE AND COUNTIES: 1960—Con.

[The term "allocation" means that a characteristic was assigned a value during the tabulation because of the absence of an entry on the schedule, or because the entry was poorly marked, inconsistent with another entry, or was not readable by the mechanical equipment. See text for further explanation. Percent not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 100]

AREA	TOTAL PERSONS (NUMBER)	PERSONS SUBSTITUTED ¹		PERSONS WITH 1 OR MORE ALLOCATIONS	PERSONS WITH ALLOCATIONS			PERSONS 14 YEARS OLD AND OVER
		FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO NON-INTERVIEWS	FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE		RELATIONSHIP	SEX	COLOR	
COUNTIES--CON.								
CASS	17 919	0.3	0.6	2.5	0.6	0.4	1.0	0.6
CEDAR	17 791	0.1	0.0	0.8	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3
CERRO GORDO	49 894	0.2	0.0	1.7	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.7
CHEROKEE	18 598	0.1	0.3	1.7	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.7
CHICKASAW	15 034	0.4	0.0	2.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	1.4
CLARKE	8 222	0.3	0.0	3.3	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.6
CLAY	18 504	0.3	0.0	2.8	0.5	0.2	0.3	1.1
CLAYTON	21 962	0.3	0.0	2.0	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.8
CLINTON	55 060	0.2	0.0	1.7	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.8
CRAWFORD	18 569	0.1	0.2	1.5	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.7
DALLAS	24 123	0.3	0.0	1.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4
DAVIS	9 199	0.3	0.0	0.9	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3
DECATUR	10 539	0.8	0.1	2.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	1.4
DELAWARE	18 483	0.2	0.0	1.3	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.5
DES MOINES	44 605	0.2	0.0	2.3	0.6	0.2	0.3	1.1
DICKINSON	12 574	0.6	0.2	1.8	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.7
DUBUQUE	80 048	0.2	0.1	1.8	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.9
EMMETT	14 871	0.4	0.2	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.5
FAYETTE	28 581	0.2	0.0	1.6	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.6
FLOYD	21 102	0.5	0.0	1.7	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.5
FRANKLIN	15 472	0.3	0.0	1.5	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2
FREMONT	10 282	0.1	0.6	1.8	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.9
GREENE	14 379	0.2	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3
GRUNDY	14 132	0.2	0.0	1.7	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.6
GUTHRIE	13 607	0.4	0.0	2.1	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.9
HAMILTON	20 032	0.3	0.1	2.7	0.9	0.3	0.7	1.0
HANCOCK	14 604	0.1	0.1	1.8	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.9
HARDIN	22 533	0.4	0.1	1.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.6
HARRISON	17 600	0.1	0.0	2.1	0.4	0.3	0.3	1.0
HENRY	18 187	0.5	0.3	2.7	0.7	0.3	0.6	1.2
HOWARD	12 734	0.1	0.0	1.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3
HUMBOLDT	13 156	0.5	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.2	0.3	1.2
IDA	10 269	0.2	0.5	1.9	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.8
IOWA	16 396	0.4	0.0	2.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	1.1
JACKSON	20 754	0.1	0.2	2.9	0.4	0.2	0.4	2.0
JASPER	35 282	0.2	0.4	1.9	0.4	0.2	0.3	1.0
JEFFERSON	15 818	0.5	0.0	2.8	0.5	0.3	0.5	1.2
JOHNSON	53 663	0.2	0.2	1.7	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.8
JONES	20 693	0.2	0.1	2.1	0.6	0.3	0.3	1.0
KEOKUK	15 492	0.1	0.2	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.2
KOSSUTH	25 314	0.1	0.0	1.2	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.3
LEE	44 207	0.3	0.1	2.0	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.9
LINN	136 899	0.3	0.0	2.3	0.5	0.2	0.3	1.2
LOUISA	10 290	0.7	0.0	1.4	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.7
LUCAS	10 923	0.2	0.0	2.3	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.8
LYON	14 468	0.3	0.0	1.7	0.3	0.1	0.2	1.1
MADISON	12 295	0.1	0.2	1.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4
MAHASKA	23 602	0.2	0.2	1.6	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.5
MARION	25 886	0.2	0.2	1.7	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.6
MARSHALL	37 984	0.1	0.0	1.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.5
MILLS	13 050	0.3	0.0	1.9	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.6
MITCHELL	14 043	0.2	0.0	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.4
MONONA	13 916	0.2	0.1	1.5	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.2
MONROE	10 463	0.3	0.0	2.4	0.6	0.2	0.3	1.2
MONTGOMERY	14 467	0.2	0.0	1.8	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.6
MUSCATINE	33 840	0.2	0.1	2.3	0.7	0.3	0.4	0.8
O'BRIEN	18 840	0.3	0.0	2.0	0.6	0.3	0.2	1.3
OSCEOLA	10 064	0.2	0.0	2.0	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.5
PAGE	21 023	0.1	0.1	1.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2
PALO ALTO	14 736	0.3	0.0	2.2	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.9
PLYMOUTH	23 906	0.2	0.1	2.2	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.9
POCAHONTAS	14 234	0.3	0.0	2.2	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.9
POLK	266 315	0.4	0.1	2.8	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.4
POTTAWATTAMIE	83 102	0.1	0.2	2.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	1.1
POWESHIEK	19 300	0.2	0.4	1.9	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.8
RINGGOLD	7 910	0.4	0.0	1.6	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.4
SAC	17 007	0.2	1.0	1.9	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.8
SCOTT	119 067	0.1	0.1	2.0	0.4	0.2	0.3	1.0
SHELBY	15 825	0.5	0.0	4.0	0.7	0.5	0.8	1.9
SIOUX	26 375	0.3	0.4	3.0	0.8	0.6	0.3	1.5
STORY	49 327	0.2	0.0	2.6	0.6	0.3	0.5	1.0
TAMA	21 413	0.3	0.3	3.2	0.6	0.3	0.5	1.7
TAYLOR	10 288	0.4	0.5	2.2	0.6	0.1	0.2	1.1
UNION	13 712	0.4	0.0	2.5	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.1
VAN BUREN	9 778	0.2	0.4	1.8	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.5
WAPELLO	46 126	0.4	0.2	2.5	0.5	0.2	0.4	1.3
WARREN	20 829	0.2	0.6	2.3	0.5	0.3	0.3	1.0
WASHINGTON	19 406	0.1	0.0	0.9	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.3
WAYNE	9 800	0.2	0.0	1.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.7
WEBSTER	47 810	0.2	0.1	1.8	0.4	0.2	0.2	1.0
WINNEBAGO	13 099	0.3	0.3	1.5	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.7
WINNESHEIK	21 651	0.2	0.0	1.8	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5
WOODBURY	107 849	0.4	0.1	3.1	0.8	0.3	0.4	1.5
WORTH	10 259	0.3	0.0	1.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
WRIGHT	19 447	0.2	0.0	2.4	0.7	0.2	0.3	1.2

¹ THIS TYPE OF ALLOCATION, WHICH IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE OTHER PERCENTAGES IN THIS TABLE, CONSISTS OF CASES WHERE PERSONS AND ALL THEIR CHARACTERISTICS WERE SUBSTITUTED FOR AN ESTIMATED NUMBER OF OMITTED PERSONS. OMISSIONS DUE TO NONINTERVIEW CONSISTED OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLDS FOR WHICH THE ENUMERATOR OBTAINED NO POPULATION DATA. OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE CONSISTED OF FULL SCHEDULE PAGES WHICH WERE NOT PROPERLY RECORDED IN THE ELECTRONIC PROCESSING SYSTEM.